

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F.	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/788,990	,	02/27/2004	Teck Kheng Lee	2269-5772US (01-1085.00/U	6857	
24247	7590	05/20/2005		EXAMINER		
TRASK BF P.O. BOX 2:			но, ти ти v			
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110		UT 84110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2818		
				DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				182
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Pau
Office Action Summary		10/788,990	LEE ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Tu-Tu Ho	2818	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence addre	ess
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this comn DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status				
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 F	ebruary 2004		
2a)□		s action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		prosecution as to the m	erits is
,	closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)	Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-50 are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	·	-	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Applic rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National St	age
Attachmer	• •	A	(DTO 442)	
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma		
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	al Patent Application (PTO-1	52)

DETAILED ACTION

Election/ Restriction

Claims 1-50 are pending in this application.

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-14 and 43-48, drawn to a mold gate for a tape substrate and method of I. forming thereof, classified in class 257, subclass 678.
 - Π. Claims 15-42, drawn to a method of making a mold gate for a tape substrate, classified in class 438, subclass 106.
 - Claims 49-50, drawn to an apparatus for degating a packaged semiconductor Ш. device that includes a tape substrate, classified in class 264, subclass 272.15.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatentability of Invention I would not necessarily imply unpatentability of Invention II, since the device of Invention I could be made by processes materially different from those of Invention II. For example, the support element of Invention I could be formed non-concurrently with the conductive traces, which is materially different from concurrently forming the support element and the conductive traces from the same conductive film as recited in Invention II.

Application/Control Number: 10/788,990

Art Unit: 2818

Control Number: 10/788,9

Inventions III and I are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the degating apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the mold gate and the degating apparatus can be used for degating a mold gate, which is different from making a mold gate.

Page 3

Inventions II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the process as claimed can be practiced without even using the gating apparatus.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their different classification and their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/788,990

Art Unit: 2818

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

Page 4

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition

under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tu-Tu Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-1778. The

examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, DAVID NELMS can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tu-Tu Ho

May 17, 2005